Ch.eUNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 4

1

6

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland. Plaintiff,

V.

F.A.S.T. Systems, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:13-cv-00861-JAD-CWH

Order Adopting Report and Recommendation and Entering Default Judgment [ECF 18, 24]

In September of 2014, plaintiff Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland moved for entry of default judgment against defendants Joshua T. McConnico and Kimberly McConnico [ECF 14]. I denied that motion without prejudice because Fidelity's motion did not discuss the Eitel factors or cite the controlling authority [ECF17]. The order gave Fidelity 30 days to file a properly supported motion for entry of default judgment — which it did [ECF 18]. The McConnicos never responded.

Magistrate Judge Hoffman then entered a Report and Recommendation on August 28, 2015, recommending that I grant Fidelity's renewed motion for entry of default judgment and that judgment be entered in favor of Fidelity against Joshua T. McConnico and Kimberly McConnico for \$2,472,692.71 [ECF 24]. Objections were due by September 14, 2015. None were submitted. The McConnicos are the only defendants in this action.²

"[N]o review is required of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation unless objections are filed." Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).

Accordingly, with good cause appearing and no reason for delay, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Magistrate Jude Hoffman's Report and Recommendation [ECF]

¹ Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470 (9th Cir. 1986).

² Although Fidelity sued F.A.S.T. Systems, Inc. In its original complaint, see ECF 1, the claim against F.A.S.T. was abandoned in the First Amended Complaint. See ECF 4.

Case 2:13-cv-00861-JAD-CWH Document 25 Filed 09/15/15 Page 2 of 2